



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/344,190           | 06/24/1999        | KENNETH SCOTT KUMP   | 15-XZ-4971              | 8765             |
| 75                   | 90 11/05/2003     |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| DEAN D SMALL         |                   |                      | CHOOBIN, BARRY          |                  |
|                      | HELD & MALLOY LTD | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| 34TH FLOOR           |                   |                      |                         | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 500 W MADISON STREET |                   |                      | 2625                    |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL          | 00001             |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • 0   |  | Application No.   | plicant(s)  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                              |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   |  | 09/344,190  | KUMP, KENNETH SCOTT   |  |  |  |
| , <b>,</b> ,  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Barry Choobin   | 2625  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu  | ınication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress   |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2003 FAT Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed No Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C  | required to ave<br>the either: (1)<br>tice of Appeal   | oid abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper repl<br>n places the applica  | y to a<br>ition in   |  |  |
|   |  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mail no event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determifiee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the exitation of the control | ling date of this A<br>for reply expire I<br>ST REPLY WAS<br>R 1.136(a). The<br>ning the period of<br>expiration date of the | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjus   |  |   | mg data of the inital roje  | o, ovor  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not   | be entered be  | ecause:   |   |  |  |  |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would   | require furthe   | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) X they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or  | application in   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sir  | mplifying the  |  |  |
| (d)  they present additional claims w   | ithout canceli   | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claim  | s.   |  |  |
| NOTE:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo  | llowing reject   | ion(s):   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | parate, timely filed  | amendment  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance   |  |   | dered but does NO   | T place the  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be coraised by the Examiner in the final rej   |  | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were   | e newly  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amend   |  |   |   | and an   |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be   | e) as follows:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12,15-27</u> .  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration   | on:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed  | l on is  | a)□ approved or b)□ disappı   | oved by the Exami   | ner.   |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclos  | ure Statemer   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| 10.☐ Other:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |   |  |  |  |





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendemnt of claims 1 and 11 by incorporating claims 8 and 26 respectively does not place the application in condition for allowance since, claims 8 and 26 were rejected in previous office action (paper 12). As to Applicant,s Remarks regarding pending claims the examiner referes the Applicant to previous office action (paper 12).

Jayanti K. Patel Primary Examiner

2